

STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 6860

Petitions of Vermont Electric Power Company,)
Inc. (VELCO) and Green Mountain Power)
Corporation (GMP) for a certificate of public)
good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. Section 248,)
authorizing VELCO to construct the so-called)
Northwest Vermont Reliability Project, said)
project to include: (1) upgrades at 12 existing)
VELCO and GMP substations located in)
Charlotte, Essex, Hartford, New Haven, North)
Ferrisburgh, Poultney, Shelburne, South)
Burlington, Vergennes, West Rutland,)
Williamstown, and Williston, Vermont; (2) the)
construction of a new 345 kV transmission line)
from West Rutland to New Haven; (3) the)
reconstruction of a portion of a 34.5 kV and 46)
kV transmission line from New Haven to South)
Burlington; and (4) the reconductoring of a 115)
kV transmission line from Williamstown to)
Barre, Vermont –)

Order entered: 7/19/2006

ORDER RE: MOTION TO INTERVENE BY CATEHRINE BAKER AND STANLEY SHAPIRO

On June 22, 2006, the Vermont Public Service Board ("Board") received a request from Catherine Baker and Stanley Shapiro to intervene in the post-certification review proceedings in this Docket. The intervention request states that Ms. Baker and Mr. Shapiro "are moving to intervene to comment on the final design plans as they specifically affect their property and to request a hearing on such issues." No party filed responses to the intervention request. Today's Order grants that motion to intervene with the conditions set forth below.

Ms. Baker and Mr. Shapiro have demonstrated an interest in the post-certification review process as it relates to project facilities which affect their property. The Board grants Ms. Baker and Mr. Shapiro permissive intervention, pursuant to Board Rule 2.209(B), but limits

participation in the post-certification process to issues relating to facilities affecting their property, pursuant to Rule 2.209(C). As the Board noted in its Order of January 28, 2005, at page 215, "entities that have not been participants in this Docket should not be allowed to re-examine issues that have already been litigated by several parties." Consequently, Ms. Baker and Mr. Shapiro may not challenge any of the Board's orders, findings, and conclusions issued in the Docket prior to this intervention.

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this 19th day of July, 2006.

_____)	
)	PUBLIC SERVICE
)	
s/David C. Coen)	BOARD
)	
)	OF VERMONT
s/John D. Burke)	

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: July 19, 2006

ATTEST: s/Susan M. Hudson
Clerk of the Board

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: Clerk@psb.state.vt.us)